

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,278	01/31/2002		Larry E. Mashburn	T2317-907720	5374
181	7590	04/13/2006		EXAM	INER
MILES & S	TOCKBRII	OGE PC	JUSKA, CHERYL ANN		
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 500				FAFER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833				1771	
				DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/059,278	MASHBURN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cheryl Juska	1771	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ... (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ____ Claim(s) rejected: __ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

> Cheryl Juska Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts the Kurth Declaration filed November 22, 2005 is a sufficient declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 to overcome the Kurth reference (US 2002/0090488) (After Final Response, page 2, paragraphs 4-5). The examiner respectfully disagrees for at least the following reasons:

- (a) Declaration labeled serial no. 09/974,302 (US 2002/0090488) rather than the instant application no. 10/059,278.
- (b) Section II of Declaration discusses claims 115 and 116 of application 09/974,302. Said application (i.e., reference) has only claims 1-98 filed therein and allowed.
- (c) The scope of declaration is not commensurate in scope with the presently claimed invention. Note MPEP 715.02.
- (d) A declaration under 1.131 must be made by the named inventors of the present application. Kurth is not an inventor of the present application. Note MPEP 715.04, I.
- (e) No documentary evidence of the facts have been submitted with the declaration. Note MPEP 715.07, I, III.
- (f) The date to swear behind is the effective filing date of the Kurth reference 2002/0090488, which is October 10, 2000, as noted in at least the Final Rejection of 09/08/04, paragraph spanning pages 4-5.

Therefore, the Kurth Declaration is insufficient to overcome the Kurth reference 2002/00090488 employed in the rejection of the present claims.

CHERY A JUSTA PHIMARY EXAMINER